Notes on *Frullania chilcootiensis* (Frullaniaceae, Marchantiophyta) with a new synonym, lectotypification and an expanded distribution
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**ABSTRACT**

*Frullania chilcootiensis* Steph. and *F. battoriana* J.D. Godfrey & G. Godfrey are two morphologically similar, little known species from northwestern North America. A *Frullania* specimen from Washington State, U.S.A. was recently discovered to have affinities with both species. The specimen’s shoots show variability in the length of the styli, as well as the number of cells composing them; two key morphological characters previously used to differentiate *F. chilcootiensis* from *F. battoriana*. Based on this overlap and a detailed comparison of the branching and sexuality of the type specimens, *F. chilcootiensis* and *F. battoriana* are here considered to be conspecific, with *F. chilcootiensis* having priority as the older name. The range of *F. chilcootiensis* is expanded into western Canada and the continental United States. A lectotype is designated for *F. chilcootiensis* from among the syntype specimens deposited in FH and G.
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**Résumé**

Atwood D.J., Mamontov Ю.С. Заметки о *Frullania chilcootiensis* (Frullaniaceae, Marchantiophyta) с новым синонимом, лектотипификацией и расширенным распространением. *Frullania chilcootiensis* Steph. и *F. battoriana* J.D. Godfrey & G. Godfrey—морфологически сходные, малоизвестные таксоны с Севера Запада Северной Америки (первый известен с Аляски, второй—из Британской Колумбии). Для разграничения этих видов использовались признаки длины стилусов и количества клеток в них. В ходе исследования коллекций *Frullania* в гербарии СО.О был выявлен образец из штата Вашиптон (США), который сходен по признакам стилусов с растениями обоих видов. При сравнении типовых образцов этих видов отмечено их сходство по ряду признаков, а именно по форме и по клеточной сети брюшных и спинных лопастей листьев, по форме амфигастриев, перианциев и их по- кровных листьев. В результате название *F. battoriana* включено в синонимы названия *F. chilcootiensis* как более раннего и приоритетного, ареал последнего вида расширен до Западной Канады и континентальной части США. Выполнена лектотипификация *F. chilcootiensis* на основе изученных синтипов из гербариев FH и G.
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and longer styli that are also a few cells wider than the styli of *F. chilcootiensis*. As noted by Godfrey & Godfrey (1980), newer collections of *F. chilcootiensis* are needed to better understand the morphology of that species.

A *Frullania* specimen from Washington State, U.S.A., was recently discovered that compares well morphologically with the descriptions of *F. chilcootiensis* and *F. batteriana* in its overall size, as well as characteristics of its leaf lobes, lobules, styli and underleaves. A comparison of this specimen with an isotype specimen of *F. batteriana* found that they similarly have long branches that sometimes terminate in gynoecia, and that these slightly diminutive branches are similar in size with the type specimens of *F. chilcootiensis*. The primary and secondary branches of the *F. batteriana* isotype specimen, and the Washington State specimen, also have styli that are shorter and contain fewer cells compared to the styli on their stem. These branch styli are rather similar in length and cell number to the styli in the type specimens of *F. chilcootiensis*. Lastly, some shoots of the Washington State specimen are diffusely autoicous with the androecia not immediately positioned below the gynoecia. Since the type specimens of *F. chilcootiensis* are shoot fragments, a remotely located, and therefore unobserved androecia may explain why this species was described as dioicous. *Frullania batteriana* is here considered to be conspecific with *F. chilcootiensis*. The range of *F. chilcootiensis* is expanded into western Canada and the continental United States. A lectotype is designated for *F. chilcootiensis* from among its isotype specimens.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Syntype specimens of *F. chilcootiensis* deposited in FH (Fig. 1D, F, I–J, L, M) and G (Fig. 1A–C, E, H, K, N) as well as an isotype specimen of *F. batteriana* deposited in UBC (Fig. 1G) were morphologically compared to a newly discovered specimen, Svilha 335 p.p. (deposited in COLO: B-0021088), that was collected in Washington State, United States. The specimen had originally been determined as *F. californica* (Austin) A. Evans. Morphological measurements were made of the new specimen with particular attention given to the branching pattern, proximity of the androecia and gynoecia, and the number of cells composing the styli, as these characters have been previously emphasized as diagnostic (Frye & Clark 1947, Godfrey & Godfrey 1980). The specimens were rehydrated in water and examined using light microscopes equipped with digital cameras. In order to better illustrate the three-dimensional objects, photomicrographs were combined using the stacking software HeliconFocus and then reconstructed into line drawings.

**RESULTS**


Canada. British Columbia: Brandywine Falls, ca. 92 km N of Vancouver on Hwy. #99, Godfrey 2225 (paratype); ca. 24.1 km E of Hwy. #37 crossing over Bear R. at Stuart, ca. 32.2 km W of Cranberry Jt. Rd. Schofield 65128 (paratype: UBC), syn nov.

**Description**. Plants yellowish to pale brown, main leafy shoots up to 1.9 cm long and 0.45–0.58 mm wide. Stems rounded, 85–100 µm in diameter, light brown, epidermal cells rectangular and firm-walled, 24–40 × 6–8 µm, ventral merophyte approximately 4–5 cells wide; stem cross-section 5 cells wide with cortical and medullary cell cavities rounded to irregularly oval, 8–12 × 5–8 µm, hyaline, sub-equally thick-walled with brown walls. Stems numerous branched, with irregular, pinnate to 3-pinnate branches. Primary branches approximately 3–4 (~7) mm long and 0.35–0.57 mm wide, secondary and tertiary branches approximately 2–4 mm long and 0.30–0.37 mm wide. Stem lateral leaves imbricate, distant to contiguous. Dorsal lobe broadly ovate, 230–385 × 300–425 µm, convex, apex rounded, decurved, margins entire, antical base auriculate-appendiculate, arching across the stem. Marginal cells subquadrate to short-rectangular, 6–10 × 8–12 µm, median cells rounded, 10–18 µm, basal cells more oblong 18–24 × 10–18 µm; cells thin-walled, colorless or yellowish brown, with distinct trigones and few intermediate thickening; oil bodies not seen; ocelli lacking. Lobules always inflated, saccate, 150–210 × 96–132 µm on stem, 130–170 × 86–110 µm on primary and secondary branches, rounded at the apex, constricted near the mouth. Styli foliaceous, 92–145 (~200) × 85–140 µm, and composed of 7–12 (~15) cells long and 4–6 cells wide on stem; 76–82 × 20–30 µm, and composed of 5–7 cells long and 2–3 cells wide on primary and secondary branches. Underleaves obovate, 220–275 × 150–180 µm, bifid to about 0.4–0.5 times the length, the sinus narrowly acute, the lobes broadly acute to somewhat obtuse, lateral margins obtusely to sharply 1–2 toothed, sometimes entire, bases cuneate. Asexual reproduction not observed. Autoicous, copiously fertile, proximity of androecia and gynoecia variable, the androecia positioned immediately below the gynoecia or located approximately 9–12 mm below on the stem with the gynoecia located on a branch. Androecia globose, nearly sessile on short lateral branches, with 3–4 pairs of densely imbricate, equally bilobed bracts and 1–2 bracteoles at base. Gynoecial terminal on the stem or primary branch, occasionally on secondary branch. Gynoecial bracts appressed to the perianth, lobe oblong, 420–465 × 170–200 µm, apex broadly rounded, entire, subdivided to about 0.5 the length, lobule broadly lanceolate, 380–410 × 120–145 µm, apex rounded, with distinct lateral appendage, bracteoles ovate, 360–380 × 165–180 µm, bilobed, connate with the bract on one side. Perianth exerted to a little more than half its length, obovate, pluriplicate with 6–9 keels, the beak stout, 110–122 × 190–208 µm, with a flared mouth that is partially occluded with papilloid cells. Sporophytes slightly exserted, capsule brown, valves 330–350 µm wide. Elaters 150–180 × 12 µm, 1-spiralled. Spores reddish brown, 12–15 µm wide.

**Specimen examined:** U.S.A. Washington State, Pierce County, Mount Rainier National Park, Longmire, 1 September 1931, Svilha 335 p.p. (COLO-B-0021088)
Figure 1 Frullania chilcootiensis Steph. A, C–E, H, I, J, L, N – parts of shoots showing leaves and underleaves. B, F, C – enlarged leaf lobules showing cell outlines. G, M – perianthous shoots. Scale bars: 0.2 mm for B, K. 0.25 mm for A, C–E, H, I, J, L, N. 0.5 mm for M, 1 mm for G. A–C, E, H, K, N from lectotype of F. chilcootiensis (Krause 17, G). G from isotype of F. hattoriana (Godfrey & Godfrey 1485, UBC). D, F, I, J, L, M from isolectotype of F. chilcootiensis (Krause s.n., FH)
**DISCUSSION**

The *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen, although originally determined as *F. californica*, is morphologically distinct from that species. Differences from *F. californica* include its narrower leafy shoots (about 0.45–0.58 mm wide); the lack of ocelli in the dorsal lobes; the lack of appendiculate styli; and underleaves with toothed, plane margin as well as cuneate bases. By comparison, the leafy shoots of *F. californica* are about 0.6–0.8 mm wide and the dorsal lobes tend to have a few, scattered ocelli on at least some leaves, although they may lack them altogether. Furthermore, the styli of *F. californica* tend to have an appendage, although it can sometimes be reduced, while the underleaves have entire, slightly reflexed margins as well as slightly auriculate bases. The morphological affinities of the *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen are instead closer with *F. chilcotensis* and *F. hattoriana* based on their similar branching pattern, and characteristics of their dorsal lobes, lobules styli and underleaves.

The *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen has leafy shoots that are branched with pinnate to tri-pinnate branching, similar to the branching pattern of *F. hattoriana* (Godfrey & Godfrey 1980: Fig. 1, Nos. 1–2). In both the *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen and the isotype specimen of *F. hattoriana*, the branches are somewhat shorter and narrower than the stem. Frye & Clark (1947) note that one of the defining characters of *F. chilcotensis* is its lack of branches. However, the G type specimen is branched, albeit with a single branch. Furthermore, the narrowness of the single shoot comprising the FH type specimen of *F. chilcotensis*, and the diminutive size of its lobes and lobules, suggest that it may be a primary or secondary branch rather than a stem.

*F. chilcotensis* (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Mont., *F. tamarisci* (L.) Dumort. and *F. taxodonea* R.M. Schust. similarly also have comparatively smaller lobes and lobules on their branches versus their stems (Schuster 1992).

In their type comparison, Godfrey & Godfrey (1980) note that the styli of *F. chilcotensis* are shorter and narrower than that of *F. hattoriana*. In *F. chilcotensis*, the styli length is approximately 1/4 to 1/3 times the lobule length, whereas in *F. hattoriana* it is about 1/2 to subequal the lobule length. Furthermore, the styli in *F. chilcotensis* are about 2–3 cells wide at their maximum, whereas those of *F. hattoriana* are 4–6 cells wide at their maximum. The *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen has variable foliaceous styli that, on the stem, are about 69–76 % (±95 %) the length of the stem lobule and are 4–6 cells wide, whereas on the branches are about 48–58 % the length of the branch lobule and are 2–3 cells wide. The length of the styli on the stem and their number of cells wide is similar and overlaps with the stem styli measurements for *F. hattoriana*. The length of the styli on the branches is greater than the styli measurements for *F. chilcotensis*, but the number of cells nevertheless overlaps. In *F. hattoriana*, the number of cells composing the branch styli also overlaps with the styli of *F. chilcotensis*. Variation in the size of the styli, and the number of cells that comprise them have been noted in other *Frullania* species with foliaceous styli, such as *F. bolanderi*, *F. catarinana* A. Evans and *F. stylifera* (R.M. Schust.); R.M. Schust. (1992) gives a range from 2–8 cells in his description of the styli base of *F. bolanderi*. That species can have typical lanceolate-triangular or ovate-lanceolate styli as well as styli on some shoots that are filiforme (Schuster 1992). Mamontov et al. (2020) noted that the styli of *F. catarinana* varies from foliaceous on sterile well developed shoots to smaller and sometimes inconspicuous on freely fertile shoots. Lastly, in *F. stylifera*, the foliaceous styli varies from relatively small, (3–)4–5 cells wide (ca. 85 × 175 µm) in populations from the European part of Russia, to 8–10 cells wide (ca. 100–160 × 250–290 µm) in south-central Russia populations (Konstantinova et al. 2020).

Lastly, the *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen is autoicus with its gynoecia positioned terminally on the stem or on long primary or secondary branches, similar to *F. hattoriana*. Godfrey & Godfrey (1980) note that the androecia of *F. hattoriana* are almost always located near, and positioned below the gynoecia. A similar and corresponding proximal position of the androecia and gynoecia is found on most shoots in the *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen. However, a few shoots have these structures more distantly spaced. On those shoots, the gynoecia are positioned on long branches similar to that illustrated here (Fig. 1M) and by Godfrey & Godfrey (1980, Fig. 1, No. 1), with the exception that the androecia are located only on the stem. In the *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen, the distance between the androecia and gynoecia can be up to 12 mm apart. Long branches that terminate in gynoecia can give the appearance of dioicy if the androecia are not observed in proximity. A similar condition is found in the southwestern North American endemic *F. wrightii* Austin. This species, like *F. chilcotensis*, was described as dioicus from a shoot fragment (Austin 1872). Numerous morphologically similar specimens have since been found that are diffusely autoicus, with androecia intermittently and only occasionally positioned on the stem or main branch beneath the gynoecia (Atwood 2017).

The *Svihla 335 p.p.* specimen shows considerable morphological overlap with the type specimens of *F. chilcotensis* and *F. hattoriana* in its branching, as well as characteristics of its dorsal lobes, lobules, styli and underleaves. In light of this specimen, the morphological characters previously emphasized as diagnostic for *F. chilcotensis* and *F. hattoriana*, such as the lack of branches in *F. chilcotensis* (Frye & Clark 1947), and differences in sexuality and the length and number of cells composing the styli for *F. hattoriana* (Godfrey & Godfrey 1980) are not taxonomically sufficient to separate these species. *Frullania chilcotensis* and *F. hattoriana* are treated here as synonyms with *F. chilcotensis* having priority as the older name. The type specimen of *F. chilcotensis* in G is designated as the lectotype for the species based on its larger size and presence of a branch, in comparison to the type specimen fragment deposited in FH.

The known distribution of *F. chilcotensis* is expanded here by approximately 1600 km to the southeast from the type locality into the continental United States, where the species was collected at 850 m elevation in a subalpine meadow, mixed with *Porilla roelli* Steph. and *Scapania americana* Müll. Frib. The type localities of *F. hattoriana* are separated from the Washington State locality by about 300 km. The holotype and isotype specimens of *F. hattoriana*
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were collected on bark of a mountain hemlock (*Tsuga mertensiana* (Bong.) Carr.), in a subalpine forest at about 1000 m elevation. The species was found in association with *Douinia ovata* (Dicks.) Buch and *Scapania bolanderi* Aust.
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